

**1 The North Icelandic Jet and its contribution to the**  
**2 Denmark Strait overflow water**

C. M. Corsaro

<sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Catania, Catania, Italy.

<sup>4</sup> Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, De Bilt, Netherlands

A. Sterl

<sup>5</sup> Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, De Bilt, Netherlands

---

C. M. Corsaro, Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Catania, Via S. Sofia 64,  
95123 Catania, Italy. Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, P.O. Box 201, NL-3730 AE  
De Bilt, Netherlands. (carlo.corsaro@gmail.com)

A. Sterl, Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, P.O. Box 201, NL-3730 AE De Bilt,  
Netherlands. (sterl@knmi.nl)

6 Denmark Strait overflow water (DSOW) is a main contributor to the for-  
7 mation of the deep branch of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circula-  
8 tion, which has an essential function in determining the climate of the North  
9 Atlantic region. The origin of this overflow is generally attributed to the East  
10 Greenland Current (EGC). However, recent observations reveal that the North  
11 Icelandic Jet (NIJ) has a main role in the formation of the dense overflow  
12 water. Here we investigate the NIJ and its role among the current system  
13 north of the Denmark Strait by using an ocean general circulation model cou-  
14 pled to a prescribed atmosphere. The model gives a reliable depiction of the  
15 circulation and shows good agreement with the latest estimates of the mean  
16 transport of the NIJ, the North Icelandic Irminger Current and the DSOW.  
17 A good correlation between the time series of the NIJ and the DSOW im-  
18 plies that the jet significantly contributes to the formation of the overflow  
19 water. The analysis of the dense water paths suggests that different sources  
20 feed the NIJ and, in particular, a southeastward branch of the EGC might  
21 be the main contributor to its transport.

## 1. Introduction

22 The GIN Sea, the area between Greenland, Iceland, Norway and Svalbard, has a great  
23 importance for the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) [Drange et al.,  
24 2005]. In this area the inflowing warm and saline Atlantic water is densified by cool-  
25 ing, giving rise to sinking [Aagaard et al., 1985]. Most of the North Atlantic Deep Wa-  
26 ter stems from the overflow of the dense waters flowing from the GIN Sea through the  
27 Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR). The amount of deep water formed in the GIN Sea  
28 greatly influences the strength of the AMOC [Cheng et al., 2011]. Changes in the deep  
29 water formation, and thus modifications in the AMOC, seem to have a major impact on  
30 climatic changes [Ganopolski et al., 1998].

31 Overflow water is commonly identified as water denser than  $\sigma_\theta = 27.8 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  [Dickson  
32 and Brown, 1994]. Using this definition, the total transport of overflow water across the  
33 GSR is about 6 Sv ( $1 \text{ Sv} = 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). Since half of this overflow passes through Denmark  
34 Strait, this channel is the primary gateway for the overflow towards the Atlantic Ocean  
35 [Hansen and Østerhus, 2000]. Moreover, simulations with a coupled model demonstrated  
36 that the strength of the overflow across Denmark Strait impacts the European climate  
37 [Kösters et al., 2005].

38 Identifying the sources that feed the Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW) has been  
39 a debated question since exchanges across Denmark Strait have been studied. In the last  
40 decades the East Greenland Current (EGC) was generally thought to be the main pathway  
41 supplying the DSOW [Mauritzen, 1996; Rudels et al., 2002; Jeansson et al., 2008]. This  
42 view falls onto the more general notion that the cyclonic boundary current system of the

43 GIN Sea has a main role in the transformation of the inflowing warm Atlantic water into  
44 the DSOW.

45 Recently, however, it was suggested that a big contribution to the DSOW comes from  
46 a current flowing westward along the continental slope north of Iceland [Jónsson and  
47 Valdimarsson, 2004]. Subsequent measurements northwest of Iceland allowed Våge et al.  
48 [2011] to confirm the presence of a largely barotropic current which accounts for roughly  
49 half of the total DSOW (about 1,5 Sv). The authors called this current the North Icelandic  
50 Jet (NIJ). Using a simplified model, they found that the formation of the NIJ is strongly  
51 connected to lateral exchanges between the North Icelandic Irminger Current (NIIC) and  
52 the interior Iceland Sea. The NIIC transports warm and saline water northward through  
53 Denmark Strait and along the northern coast of Iceland.

54 Understanding the role of the NIJ within the circulation pattern of the GIN Sea is a  
55 crucial step to the full comprehension of the DSOW. Thus the main objectives of this  
56 study are to analyze the characteristics of the NIJ, to investigate its possible sources, and  
57 to establish the relation between the NIJ and the DSOW by means of an ocean general  
58 circulation model driven by prescribed atmospheric forcing.

## 2. Model

59 The model run analysed in this paper is run KNMI01 from Barnier et al. [2007], in  
60 which the NEMO ocean model [Madec, 2008] was forced by prescribed atmospheric con-  
61 ditions derived from the ERA-40 reanalysis. The run covers the ERA-40 period 1958-2001,  
62 from which we use averages over the last 25 years (1977-2001). The model is run in the  
63 ORCA025 configuration. Its curvilinear grid has an average horizontal resolution of  $0.25^\circ$

64 and 46 vertical layers, 22 of which are above the sill depth of Denmark Strait. In the GIN  
65 Sea the horizontal resolution roughly varies between 2 and 10 km.

### 3. Results

66 Fig. 1 shows the simulated time-mean currents over temperature (a-b) and salinity (c-d)  
67 around the northwest part of Iceland at two different depths, 110 m (a-c) and 450 m (b-d).  
68 At 110 m we can notice the south-westward flow of the EGC on the East Greenland shelf  
69 and the flow of the NIIC passing through Denmark Strait and then continuing eastward  
70 north of Iceland where a great part of the flow is topographically steered. Northwestward  
71 of Iceland, where the cold ( $-1.5 - 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and low-salinity (32.9 - 34.3 psu) waters of the EGC  
72 meet the warm ( $5 - 8^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and saline (34.5 - 35.3 psu) Atlantic waters of the NIIC, we find  
73 strong horizontal (Fig. 1) and vertical (not shown) temperature and salinity gradients.

74 The deep overflow across Denmark Strait is evident at 450 m. The well-known contribu-  
75 tion from the EGC reaches the strait after flowing along the Greenland shelf. What comes  
76 as an indication of the existence of the current first observed by Jónsson and Valdimars-  
77 son [2004] is the presence of a barotropic flow north of Iceland that tightly follows the  
78 bottom topography while flowing towards Denmark Strait. Following Våge et al. [2011],  
79 we will call this current the NIJ. The topographic steering is most evident between  $17^{\circ}\text{W}$   
80 and  $20^{\circ}\text{W}$ , where the current seems to perfectly trace the bathymetry. The time-mean  
81 velocities of the NIJ range between 3-4 cm/s in the eastern part of the current and 10-15  
82 cm/s as it approaches Denmark Strait.

83 The NIIC and the underlying NIJ are clearly noticeable in a vertical section of the mean  
84 zonal current at  $17.5^{\circ}\text{W}$  (Fig. 2a). The NIIC is positioned over the Icelandic continental

shelf and, at this longitude, reaches a maximum depth of about 300 m. Its core is located  
between 50 and 200 m depth and has a time-mean velocity of 6-7 cm/s. The NIJ lies on the  
Icelandic continental slope between 200 and 800 m depth with a time-mean core velocity  
of 3-4 cm/s. In spite of its vertical extension, the jet is characterized by a small range  
of densities concentrated between 27.80 and 28.00 kg m<sup>-3</sup> (Fig. 2b). Vertical sections at  
different longitudes reveal a nearly constant density structure of the NIJ, with the core  
maintaining a density between 27.80 and 28.00 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. On the contrary, the NIIC exhibits  
a wide range of densities varying between 26.55 and 27.85 kg m<sup>-3</sup> at 22°W (not shown)  
and between 26.80 and 27.85 kg m<sup>-3</sup> at 17.5°W (Fig. 2b). As the current flows further  
eastward it becomes even denser, showing densities between 26.95 and 27.90 kg m<sup>-3</sup> at  
15°W (not shown). This densification is accompanied by a weakening of the modelled  
NIIC along its path, also present in the observations [Valdimarsson and Malmberg, 1999].

The dependence of the zonal mean transports of the NIIC and the NIJ on longitude  
is shown in Fig. 2c. The different overlapping lines are due to ambiguous positioning  
of the currents, so the transports are integrated over different latitudinal extensions, in  
order to have an estimate of the error in these areas. The latitudinal extension is chosen  
by selecting only eastward (westward) velocities for the NIIC (NIJ) and analysing the  
vertical sections of the currents at every model longitude. For the NIJ we put a further  
constraint on the density by selecting only waters with  $\sigma_\theta \geq 27.8$  kg m<sup>-3</sup>.

The NIIC mean transport (red lines in Fig. 2c) is about 1.35 Sv at 22°W, and it  
decreases to about 1.20 Sv at 16.5°W. This reduction is partly due to the sinking of the  
NIIC waters between 100 and 600 m, as shown by the profile of the vertical transport

107 integrated over the region of the NIIC and NIJ (Fig. 2e). In fact, the NIIC does not  
108 extend beyond 300-350 m depth and, below this level, the only current in this area is  
109 the NIJ, meaning that it receives waters from the NIIC. The sinking occurring in this  
110 area is in agreement with the results obtained by Spall [2004]: the dominant downwelling  
111 is found within the boundary current (corresponding to the NIIC), while it is negligible  
112 in the interior of the basin (corresponding to the Iceland Plateau). In fact, we find no  
113 downwelling by integrating the vertical transport over the inner Iceland Plateau (Fig. 2d,  
114 white box). East of 16°W the mean transport of the modelled NIIC increases because of  
115 the convergence with the flows coming from the interior of the Iceland Plateau and from  
116 an eastward flowing branch of the EGC. Our modelled NIIC transport of 1.2-1.3 Sv is  
117 greater than the estimate of 0.75 Sv for the period 1994-2000 [Jónsson and Valdimarsson,  
118 2005], but is close to the 1.5 Sv estimated for the period 1985-1990 [Kristmannsson, 1998].

119 The mean transport of the NIJ (black lines in Fig. 2c) increases as the NIJ flows  
120 westward, rising from 0.2 Sv at 14.5°W to 1.2 Sv at 20°W. The sinking occurring in the  
121 region of the NIIC gives a contribution of about 0.1 Sv - mainly concentrated in the eastern  
122 part of the current (black box in Fig. 2d) - to the volume transport of the NIJ. Major  
123 contributions come from a southeastward branch of the EGC and, in minor part, from  
124 the boundary waters of the Iceland Plateau. This is recognizable by looking at the dense  
125 ( $\sigma_\theta \geq 27.8 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) time-mean currents at 380 m depth (Fig. 3). We find that 0.45 Sv is  
126 added at 67.6°N between 14.4 and 15.1°W, 0.16 Sv at 67.8°N between 15.7 and 17.2°W,  
127 and 0.41 Sv is added at 68.4°N between 18.1 and 19.6°W. These contributions perfectly  
128 match the increase of the NIJ mean transport along its path (Fig. 2c). West of 20°W the

129 zonal NIJ transport decreases because the current acquires a northward component (see  
130 Fig. 1b,d at 20°W-68°N) which, when added to the westward component, gives a total  
131 transport of 1.2 Sv. At 68°N-22°W the NIJ turns towards south-west joining the EGC  
132 (Fig. 1b,d). Our modelled NIJ transport of  $1.2 \pm 0.3$  Sv (at 20°W) is close to the latest  
133 estimate of  $1.5 \pm 0.2$  Sv [Våge et al., 2011].

134 Let us consider now the DSOW and its relation with the NIJ and the EGC. The  
135 modelled time-mean transport of the DSOW is  $3.2 \pm 0.7$  Sv, which is in good agreement  
136 with the estimated 3.1-3.7 Sv for the period 1999-2003 [Macrander et al., 2005], and only  
137 slightly greater than the newest estimate of  $2.9 \pm 0.5$  Sv for the period 2008-2009 [Våge  
138 et al., 2011]. In order to verify that the NIJ is a main contributor to the transport of the  
139 DSOW, we compared the time series of the NIJ and DSOW, with a time lag of one month  
140 (Fig. 4). Two sections of the NIJ are considered, one at 17.5°W, where the transport is  
141  $0.65 \pm 0.23$  Sv, and one at 20°W, where the transport is  $1.23 \pm 0.31$  Sv. The correlation  
142 coefficient with the time series of the DSOW transport is 0.82 for the first section, and  
143 0.85 for the second one. If we require that the water of the NIJ should be shallower than  
144 650 m in order to fit with the sill depth of Denmark Strait, the NIJ transports become  
145  $0.54 \pm 0.20$  Sv and  $0.98 \pm 0.25$  Sv, respectively for the first (17.5°W) and the second  
146 (20°W) section. In this case, the correlation coefficient increases to 0.88 for both sections.  
147 This result suggests that the NIJ contributes significantly to the DSOW supplying more  
148 than one-third of its total transport. The remaining DSOW transport is provided by the  
149 southwestward branch of the EGC, which gives a contribution of  $1.95 \pm 0.18$  Sv (Fig. 4).



150 The time series of this transport has a correlation coefficient of 0.77 with the DSOW  
151 transport, meaning that the current undergoes some changes when mixing with the NIJ.

#### 4. Discussion

152 The global ocean model used gives a realistic depiction of the current system north-  
153 west of Iceland. In particular, the modelled DSOW transport of  $3.2 \pm 0.7$  is in good  
154 agreement with the latest estimates [Macrandar et al., 2005; Våge et al., 2011]. A possible  
155 overestimation of the NIIC transport arises if we compare the simulated 1.2-1.3 Sv with the  
156 newest estimate of 0.75 Sv [Jónsson and Valdimarsson, 2005]. However, an older estimate  
157 of the transport is 1.5 Sv [Kristmannsson, 1998], suggesting that the NIIC is characterized  
158 by marked interannual variability. Periods of larger NIIC transports are associated with  
159 enhanced northward advection and weak winds from the north [Logemann and Harms,  
160 2006]. The recently discovered NIJ is well reproduced by the model, showing features  
161 that are in good agreement with the observations. The jet increases as it flows westward  
162 reaching a transport of  $1.2 \pm 0.3$  Sv at  $20^\circ\text{W}$ . A good correlation between the time  
163 series of the NIJ at different sections and the DSOW transports proves that the NIJ is  
164 instrumental in the formation of the DSOW. Although the contribution of about one-  
165 third of the total DSOW transport is slightly smaller than the estimated 1.5 Sv [Våge  
166 et al., 2011], the high correlation implies that the NIJ is a fundamental source of the  
167 dense overflow towards the Atlantic Ocean. The model suggests that the jet does not  
168 originate from a single source, but stems from different contributions coming mainly from  
169 a southeastward branch of the EGC and from the boundary waters of the Iceland Plateau.  
170 The contribution coming from the sinking in the region of the NIIC accounts for only 0.1

171 Sv of the total NIJ transport, at variance with the results obtained by Våge et al. [2011],  
172 who found that two-thirds of the NIJ transport originates from vertical advection within  
173 the continental boundary of Iceland. Our results confirm that the NIJ plays a central  
174 role in the formation of the DSOW and open new questions about the origins of the jet,  
175 concerning especially the role of the EGC in its formation.

## References

- 176 K. Aagaard, J. H. Swift, and E. C. Carmack. Thermohaline circulation in the Arctic  
177 Mediterranean Seas. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90(C3):4833–4846, 1985.
- 178 B. Barnier, L. Brodeau, J. Le Sommer, J.-M. Molines, T. Penduff, S. Theetten, A.-M.  
179 Treguier, G. Madec, A. Biastoch, C. Böning, J. Dengg, S. Gulev, R. Bourdallé Badie,  
180 J. Chanut, G. Garric, S. Alderson, A. Coward, B. de Cuevas, A. New, K. Haines,  
181 G. Smith, S. Drijfhout, W. Hazeleger, C. Severijns, and P. Myers. Eddy Permitting  
182 Ocean Circulation Hindcasts of Past Decades. *Clivar Exchanges*, 12(3):8–10, 2007.
- 183 J. Cheng, Z. Liu, F. He, B. L. Otto-Bliesner, and C. Colose. Impact of North Atlantic  
184 – GIN Sea exchange on deglaciation evolution of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning  
185 Circulation. *Clim. Past*, 7:935–940, 2011.
- 186 R. R. Dickson and J. Brown. The production of North Atlantic Deep Water: Sources,  
187 rates, and pathways. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99:12319–12341, 1994. doi:10.1029/94JC00530.
- 188 H. Drange, T. Dokken, T. Furevik, R. Gerdes, W. Berger, A. Nesje, K. A. Orvik, Ø. Sk-  
189 agseth, I. Skjelvan, and S. Østerhus. The Nordic Seas: An introduction. In H. Drange,  
190 T. Dokken, T. Furevik, R. Gerdes, and W. Berger, editors, *The Nordic Seas: An In-*  
191 *tegrated Perspective*, pages 1–10. American Geophysical Union, Washington DC, USA,

- 192 2005. AGU Monograph 158.
- 193 A. Ganopolski, S. Rahmstorf, V. Petoukhov, and M. Claussen. Simulation of modern and  
194 glacial climates with a coupled global model of intermediate complexity. *Nature*, 391:  
195 351–356, 1998.
- 196 B. Hansen and S. Østerhus. North Atlantic–Nordic Seas exchanges. *Progr. Oceanogr.*, 45:  
197 109–208, 2000.
- 198 E. Jeansson, S. Jutterstrom, B. Rudels, L. Anderson, K. A. Olsson, E. P. Jones, W. M.  
199 Smethie, and J. H. Swift. Sources to the East Greenland Current and its contribution  
200 to the Denmark Strait overflow. *Progr. Oceanogr.*, 78(1):12–28, 2008.
- 201 S. Jónsson and H. Valdimarsson. A new path for the Denmark Strait overflow water from  
202 the Iceland Sea to Denmark Strait. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31(L03305), 2004.
- 203 S. Jónsson and H. Valdimarsson. The flow of Atlantic water to the North Icelandic Shelf  
204 and its relation to the drift of cod larvae. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 62:1350–1359, 2005.
- 205 F. Kösters, R. H. Käse, A. Schmittner, and P. Herrmann. The effect of Denmark Strait  
206 overflow on the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32  
207 (L04602), 2005.
- 208 S. S. Kristmannsson. Flow of Atlantic Water into the northern Icelandic shelf area. *ICES*  
209 *Cooperative Research Report*, 225:124–135, 1998.
- 210 K. Logemann and I. Harms. High resolution modelling of the North Icelandic Irminger  
211 Current (NIIC). *Ocean Sci.*, 2:291–304, 2006.
- 212 A. Macrander, U. Send, H. Valdimarsson, S. Jónsson, and R. H. Käse. Interannual changes  
213 in the overflow from the Nordic Seas into the Atlantic Ocean through Denmark Strait.

214 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32(L06606), 2005.

215 G. Madec. *NEMO Ocean Engine*. Note du Pole de modélisation. Institut Pierre-Simon  
216 Laplace (IPSL), Paris, France, 2008. No 27, ISSN No 1288–1619.

217 C. Mauritzen. Production of dense overflow waters feeding the North Atlantic across the  
218 Greenland-Scotland Ridge. Part 1: evidence for a revised circulation scheme. *Deep-Sea*  
219 *Res.*, 43(6):769–806, 1996.

220 B. Rudels, E. Fahrbach, J. Meincke, G. Budeus, and P. Eriksson. The East Greenland  
221 Current and its contribution to the Denmark Strait overflow. *ICES J. Mar. Sc.*, 59:  
222 1133–1154, 2002.

223 M. A. Spall. Boundary Currents and Watermass Transformation in Marginal Seas. *J.*  
224 *Phys. Oceanogr.*, 34:1197–1213, 2004.

225 K. Våge, R. S. Pickart, M. A. Spall, H. Valdimarsson, S. Jónsson, D. J. Torres, S. Østerhus,  
226 and T. Eldevik. Significant role of the North Icelandic Jet in the formation of Denmark  
227 Strait overflow water. *Nature Geoscience*, 4:723–727, 2011. doi:10.1038/NGEO1234.

228 H. Valdimarsson and S-A. Malmberg. Near-surface circulation in Icelandic waters derived  
229 from satellite tracked drifters. *Rit Fiskid.*, 16:23–39, 1999.

**Figure 1.** Time-mean currents over temperature (a-b) and salinity (c-d) around the northwest part of Iceland at two different depths, 110 m (a-c) and 450 m (b-d). Different velocity scales are used to emphasize areas of low (red arrows - velocity smaller than 10 cm/s) and high velocity (black arrows - velocity smaller than 35 cm/s). Note also the different scales used for the salinity. NIIC, North Icelandic Irminger Current; NIJ, North Icelandic Jet.

**Figure 2.** **a-b**, Vertical section of the mean zonal current with depth (**a**) and with density(**b**) north of Iceland at 17.5°W. Positive values represent eastward flows. **c**, Mean zonal transports of the NIIC (red lines) and NIJ (black lines - also the westward transport is plotted with positive values, in order to ease comparison between the two curves) as function of longitude. Only waters with  $\sigma_\theta \geq 27.8 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  are considered for the NIJ. The different overlapping lines are due to uncertain areas of integration for the transport. **d**, Mean sea surface temperature and horizontal velocity. The boxes indicate the areas of integration for the vertical transport. **e**, Profiles of vertical transport integrated over the region of the NIIC. The red and the black lines correspond respectively to the red and the black boxes in **d**.

**Figure 3.** Mean horizontal over meridional velocity at 380 m depth, and bottom topography. Only waters with  $\sigma_\theta \geq 27.8 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  are considered. The black sections are situated just to the north of the area of the NIJ. Across these sections the NIJ receives contributions from a southeastward branch of the EGC and from the boundary waters of the Iceland Plateau.

**Figure 4.** Time series of the DSOW (black line), EGC (blue line), NIJ at 17.5°W (red line) and NIJ at 20°W (green line) with a 1-yr running-mean filter. The purple line is the sum of the EGC and the NIJ at 20°W. Only waters with  $\sigma_\theta \geq 27.8 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  are considered.









