Assessment of the Aeolus performance and bias correction – results from the Aeolus DISC



Oliver Reitebuch¹

Isabell Krisch¹, Christian Lemmerz¹, Oliver Lux¹, Uwe Marksteiner¹, Nafiseh Masoumzadeh¹, Fabian Weiler¹, Benjamin Witschas¹, Fabio Bracci², Markus Meringer², Karsten Schmidt², Dorit Huber³, Ines Nikolaus⁴, Frédéric Fabre⁵, Michael Vaughan⁶, Katja Reissig⁷, Alain Dabas⁸, Thomas Flament⁸, Adrien Lacour⁸, J.-F. Mahfouf⁸, M. Savli⁸, Dimitri Trapon⁸, Saleh Abdalla⁹, Lars Isaksen⁹, Michael Rennie⁹, Dave Donovan¹⁰, Jos de Kloe¹⁰, Gert-Jan Marseille¹⁰, Ad Stoffelen¹⁰, Gaetan Perron¹¹, Sebastian Jupin-Langlois¹¹, Joost Smeets¹², Marcella Veneziani¹², Simone Bucci¹³, Giacomo Gostinicchi¹³, Frithjof Ehlers¹⁴, Thomas Kanitz¹⁴, Anne-Grete Straume¹⁴, Denny Wernham¹⁴, Jonas von Bismarck¹⁵, Sebastian Bley¹⁵, Peggy Fischer¹⁵, Marta De Laurentis¹⁵, Tommaso Parrinello¹⁵

¹DLR, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Germany
²DLR, Remote Sensing Technology Institute, Germany
³DoRIT, Germany
⁴Physics Solutions, Munich University
of Applied Sciences, Germany
⁵ Les Myriades, France
⁶OLA, UK
⁷IB Reissig, Germany
⁸Météo-France, France
⁶DER
⁶

serco

⁹ECMWF, UK ¹⁰KNMI, The Netherlands ¹¹ABB, Canada ¹²S&T, The Netherlands ¹³serco, Italy ¹⁴ESA-ESTEC, The Netherlands ¹⁵ESA-ESRIN, Italy

•eesa

s&t

IB Reissig

Knowledge for Tomorrow

All slides © Aeolus DISC. All rights reserved.

Aeolus Data Innovation and Science Cluster (DISC)



Outline of the talk

- evolution of random and systematic errors
 - main causes and correction for systematic errors
 - main causes for random error and signal levels
- recent investigations on performance related issues
- processor and data product evolution









Major events for Aeolus and DISC in 2020

Illustrations from Gilles Labruyère: *aeolus differently*, 2018



Evolution of Aeolus random and systematic errors

ECMWF operational monitoring of Aeolus Rayleigh and Mie winds from 7 Sept 2018 to 5 Oct 2020



Figures by M. Rennie (ECMWF)

- random error is currently in the order of 5.5-6.5 m/s for Rayleigh winds and 3-3.5 m/s for Mie winds (mostly clouds): random errors in both channels increased since launch and show some decrease due to L2B processor improvements
- systematic errors (bias) for both Mie and Rayleigh winds (several m/s) show strong slow drifts, orbital variations, differences for ascending and descending orbits, and occurrence in some range-gates



Aeolus Workshop – 2 Nov 2020

What caused the wind systematic error in the past?

combination of 4 unexpected (before launch) error sources with different temporal characteristics:

- higher dark current rates for "hot pixels"=> corrected with special instrument operation DUDE (Down Under Dark Experiment, 4-times / day) and on-ground correction in L1B processing since 14 June 2019
- 2. error in the on-board software in calculation of residual projection of the satellite ground speed on the line-of-sight => workaround implemented in 2019 by de-activating correction and corrected on-ground with new L1b processor version 7.09.1 (baseline B11 from 8 October 2020)

≫DTSC

L1B processor implementation by **D. Huber (DoRIT)** L2B processor implementation by **J. de Kloe (KNMI)**





🌇 aeolus



What caused the wind systematic error in the past?

- 3. slow drifts in the illumination of the Rayleigh/Mie **spectrometers** causing a **slowly, linear drifting constant bias =>** implemented as constant factor correction for Rayleigh/Mie winds within M1-bias correction since April 20 (baseline B09 data from 20 April 2020)
- 4. variation of the **M1 telescope mirror temperatures** (mean and gradients) which results in Rayleigh and Mie bias with orbital phase (argument of **latitude) and longitude** => corrected for L2B winds with use of correlation between M1 temperatures and mean model departures from ECMWF with daily to half-daily update rates using a processor at ECMWF (AUX-TEL) since baseline B09/20 April 2020



temporal evolution of internal Rayleigh Response R_{Int}

Rayleigh bias versus time on 09/08/2019



=> on-going investigations on remaining bias in re-processed and NRT datasets and M1-correction without use of ECMWF model by use of ALADIN ground-returns: reduces biases significantly, but currently not as good as using NWP model



Aeolus Workshop – 2 Nov 2020

🛰 aeolus *>>>DISC*

M. Rennie (ECMWF)

7 / 20

Figure by F. Weiler (DLR),

What influences the wind random error and signal loss?

Optics Letters

laser emit energy 1.

- lower than expected: 65 mJ instead of 80 mJ (specified)
- decrease for FM-A period from launch until June 2019 by 25 mJ
- small decrease during FM-B period from July 2019 until stabilization in Dec 2019 and recovery in March 2020 to > 60 mJ
- 2. optical signal throughput in receive path for atmospheric signal
 - lower than expected (factor 2-3) since launch
 - significant decrease since July 2019 until end October 2020 by 47% to 50% for FM-B
 - signal levels currently below end FM-A levels



Aeolus Workshop - 2 Nov 2020

🕻 aeolus 🧈 DISC



Discrepancy between these lines for FM-B period indicates that laser energy is not representative for instrument performance. This hints to a signal loss in optical emit and receive path.

Analysis of root cause of signal loss and specific instrument tests with highest priority during last year by DISC/ESA/industry.

8 / 20

laser energy and Rayleigh atmospheric path signal

What influences the wind random error and signal loss ?

- 1. laser emit energy
- 2. optical signal throughput in receive path for atmospheric signal
- 3. solar background noise (mainly on Rayleigh winds)
 - ⇒ impact higher than expected due to lower atmospheric signal
 - seasonal variation of solar background by factor
 18: Rayleigh random errors of 7-8 m/s were
 obtained in summer months for polar regions

Random error could be only reduced by further averaging in vertical (>1 km => range-bin settings, on-ground processing) or horizontal (>90 km) or improving signal throughput at instrument level

🛰 aeolus *____DISC*

Aeolus Workshop - 2 Nov 2020

Orbital variation of Rayleigh solar background noise



ALADIN atmospheric and internal path signal evolution for FM-B



View into the ALADIN Ø1.5 m telescope

FOV 18 µrad 7 m @ 400 km

11/20



from Aeolus blog https://aeolusweb.wordpress.com/



Aeolus Workshop - 2 Nov 2020



Temperature gradients along M1 influence signal levels – July 2020



Aeolus Workshop - 2 Nov 2020

1 35 8 M

Outline of the talk

- evolution of random and systematic errors
 - main causes and correction for systematic errors
 - main causes for random error and signal levels
- recent investigations on performance related issues
- processor and data product evolution









Recent results from assessment of ACCD detector

ACCD detector: currently 17 Rayleigh and 21 Mie hot pixel, a new hot pixel every ≈15 days => 10% hot pixel predicted for EOL (not critical), different characteristics RTS, Clock-Induced and causes (radiation, Clock-induced) => dark current levels could be reduced by changing operating temperature from -30°C to -35°C (tested with A2D), on-ground correction limited to time periods between 2 DUDE's (every 6 hours) => potential mitigation is to increase number of DUDE's per day

a manuscript will be submitted soon to AMT

Characterization of dark current measurements of the ACCDs used on-board the Aeolus satellite

Fabian Weiler¹, Thomas Kanitz², Denny Wernham², Michael Rennie³, Dorit Huber⁴, Marc Schillinger⁵, Olivier Saint-Pe⁵, Ray Bell⁶, Tommaso Parrinello⁷, Oliver Reitebuch¹



Figures by F. Weiler (DLR)



Recent results from assessment of laser frequency stability

laser frequency stability is good (8-10 MHz rms shot-toshot, relative 10⁻⁸) but **periods with enhanced frequency jitter** (up to 30 MHz rms) are correlated to critical rotation speeds of the reaction wheels (microvibrations) => results in specific patterns of geolocations around the globe (indirect link to magnetorquers and earth magnetic field gradient) => these periods show enhanced Mie wind and ground-returns errors







satellite reaction wheel speeds in RPS



Figures by O. Lux (DLR)

Recent results from assessment of spectrometer drifts

spectrometers angle of incidence (AoI)

drifts: strong drifts of the AoI present on both MSP and RSP on the internal and atmospheric paths as observed by different instrument modes (ISR, LBM, IDC), mainly due to large nonperpendicular AoI on internal path of ≈500 µrad (RSP); drift of AoI is related to laser LOS drift **and/or** drift between laser output and spectrometer input with strong influence of satellite temperature (eclipse season)



Date



Figures by **B. Witschas (DLR)**

temp/(arb units)

20

Ray.

Outline of the talk

- evolution of random and systematic errors
 - main causes and correction for systematic errors
 - main causes for random error and signal levels
- recent investigations on performance related issues
- processor and data product evolution











Contribution of Cal/Val teams

- Cal/Val Team reports provide significant input for DISC/ESA on data quality assessment, algorithms and processor evolution in addition to Cal/Val Wiki discussion items and workshop presentations
- 14 Cal/Val team reports were delivered to ESA in time period May-July 2020 and are summarized in a report provided on the Cal/Val WIKI on 8 October 2020

Cal/Val projects

Aeolus mission calibration and validation is essential in order to Cal/Val teams will perform diverse and widespread activities, incl satellite intercomparisons, model and NWP impact assessment s

- Overview of Cal/Val proposals
- Synthesis of Cal/Val activities and results



Aeolus Data Innovation Science Clu DISC Aeolus Calibration and Validation Cal/Val Synthesis Report		orec
Aeolus Calibration and Validation Cal/Val Synthesis Report	Aec	DISC
Calibration and Validation Cal/Val Synthesis Report		Aeolus
DUN-Met: ADD-TH-01A-6TH-020) Treamed by D Metabook. D.0. htm: V2.1 Dete: 0771/02288		Calibration and Validation Cal/Val Synthesis Report
Hardward of a minimum control of the second state in the second state is the second st	DLR-Rof	AED-TH-DIR-GEN-422
Serco ALB	Prepared by Issue: Data:	0. Motosbuck, DLR V 2.1 07716/2018
ALL ALL		serco
	\$ 00	
	# @	ECMWF SAIL

error	L2B Mie cloudy	L2B Rayleigh clear	Team
random	FM-A: 2-4 m/s,	FM-A: 4-6 m/s,	EVAA-LMU-RWP
from instru- ment compa-	FM-B: 3-5 m/s (short hor. int. length) March 2020: 4-5 m/s	FM-B: 4-6 m/s, March 2020: 6 m/s	(up to B06), Germany
rison	4-5.7 m/s	5-7.7 m/s	EVAA-Tropos Raso Leipzig (B06-09)
	no comparison	5.2 m/s (rmse)	USA-DAWN April 2019, Pacific
	no comparison	asc./desc. RMSE 6.4 / 5.7 m/s FM-A 5.8 m/s, 5.5 m/s FM-A 4.9 m/s / 6.0 m/s FM- B	SMHI-IRF Kiruna radar 2018/2019 FM-A SMHI-IRF Antarctica radar 2019 FM-A/B
random from	4-5 m/s	4-6 m/s	ECCC Canada (FM-B)
NWP	2-3 m/s (>800 hPa)	4-5 m/s	SMHI (Sept/Oct 2018)

Summary and conclusion

- 4 causes of wind bias (hot pixel, satellite speed, linear drifts and M1 temperature) are corrected since April 20, 2020 (baseline 09) and in re-processed early FM-B dataset
- major performance issue is the on-going loss in signal on the atmospheric and internal optical path, which results in an increase of the Rayleigh wind random errors
- influence of laser beam pointing, thermal environment (eclipse) and M1 temperatures on atmospheric path signal loss were shown => potential for mitigation actions on recovery of signal loss
- new processor versions for baseline 11 was deployed for NRT products on 8 October 2020; re-processed products for early FM-B period have been available since 14 October 2020 => next updates in March/April 2021
- results from next laser parameter optimizations and next M1 tests will be available in early 2021



Aeolus Workshop – 2 Nov 2020

